

Analysis of Financial Literacy, Experience Regret, and Risk Tolerance in Investment Decisions of Farming Communities (Study on the Farming Community of Sukonatar Village, Banyuwangi)

Nola Prमितasari¹, Yovita Vivianty Indriadewi Atmadjadja² and Ni Kadek Yuliandari³

¹University of August 17, 1945 Banyuwangi; nolapramirasari3637@gmail.com

²University of August 17, 1945 Banyuwangi²; yovitavia@untag-banyuwangi.ac.id

³University August 17, 1945 Banyuwangi³ nk.yuliandari@untag-banyuwangi.ac.id

*Correspondence: Nola Prमितasari

Email Correspondence :

nolapramirasari3637@gmail.com

Published: September, 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract: This study aims to test and analyze the Level of Financial Literacy, *Experience Regret*, *Risk Tolerance* towards Investment Decisions of Farming Communities (Study on Farming Communities in Sukonatar Village, Srono District). This sampling technique uses the *Clustering* and *Purposive Sampling methods* with 63 samples. This study uses a quantitative method by distributing questionnaires with age criteria of 20-30 years, 30-40 years. In this study, SPSS 25 was used. The data analysis methods used were instrument test, multiple linear regression analysis, hypothesis test, classical assumption test, determination coefficient (R^2). Based on the results of the analysis, it was obtained that the result of t calculation was greater than t table ($5.101 > 2.00100$), meaning that there was a partial influence between the variable of Financial Literacy (X1) on Investment Decision (Y) in the Farming Community. Meanwhile, the results of the t-test on the Experience *Regret* variable (X2) t calculated were smaller than the t table ($-1,826 < 2.00100$), meaning that there was no partial influence between *the Experience Regret* (X2) variable on Investment Decisions (Y) in the Farming Community. For the *Risk Tolerance* variable (X3), the calculation is smaller than the t table ($2.439 > 2.00100$), so the statistical decision is that there is a partial influence between *the Risk Tolerance* variable (X3) on the Investment Decision (Y) in the Peasant Community

Keywords: Financial Literacy, *Experience Regret*, *Risk Tolerance*, and Investment Decisions.

INTRODUCTION

Indonesia is known as an agrarian country. Most of the population is still working in the agricultural sector. In fact, the agricultural sector is still the job that absorbs the most domestic workers. Agricultural investment is a type of investment that is worth considering, At this time there are a lot of young people with high school graduates who choose to work as farmers, because within a period of months they can enjoy the harvest. So farmers are not only among the elderly but at this time there are also many among the youth.

It has long been realized that investment or investment is very important in national development, including the agricultural sector, so it is one of the strategic activities to spur development and encourage a high rate of economic growth. There are several investment instruments in the agricultural sector such as farmers' crops, the harvest can be felt by farmers for approximately one year or

only a few months. Land appreciation, from year to year a lot of vacant land is processed into agricultural land so that the value of agricultural land increases, especially if the land is near the main road, of course for its high value. And if the owner does not want to cultivate the land, it can be rented because every year the land for rent will continue to increase in price.

Before investing, an investor certainly needs to make an investment decision. Investment decisions are individual and depend entirely on independent individuals, so in making investment decisions, investors need to consider everything that can affect the investment they will make in the future.

In investing, of course, farmers must manage their finances well, therefore it is necessary to have financial literacy. Financial literacy is considered very important, because financial literacy is the basic need of everyone to avoid financial problems. The phenomenon that the author found in the Sukonatar Village Farming Community is that some farmers choose to borrow capital to start planting, some save the previous harvest money for planting capital in the future. Farmers also estimate what expenses will be used during planting. So, farmers manage their finances well so that they can become capital for planting in the future

In addition to financial literacy, problems that often occur in farmers are *experience regret* or past experiences. *Experience regret* is a bad experience in the past. Surely as farmers are not always *profitable* in getting crops, of course they have experienced losses and bankruptcy. And this sometimes affects the decision of farmers to reinvest or grow the same crops. But sometimes farmers will continue to try and feel challenged by the failure. Especially if the farmer's land is a rental land where every year the rental price is increasing and the crop yield does not promise continuous profit, it will create a bad experience for the farmers. The phenomenon found by the author in the farming community in Sukonatar Village is that bad experiences such as crop failure do not make farmers reluctant to plant with the same crops. Rather, the farmers plant with the same crops by learning from previous experiences.

In addition, *the risk tolerance* factor is also inseparable in investment decisions. *Risk tolerance* is the level of ability that a person can accept in taking an investment risk. Everyone has a different character when it comes to making investment decisions in terms of risk tolerance. The phenomenon that the author found in the Sukonatar Village Farmer Community is that they can tolerate the risks that have been chosen, because it is indeed the choice of the farmers. By accepting well the consequences that will occur in the future.

METHOD

Based on Figure 1, it can be concluded that the research is to determine the relationship between the variables X1 to Y, X2 to Y, X3 to Y

Population, Sample, Sampling

In this study, the population of the farming community of Sukonatar Srono Village, Banyuwangi was used with the farmers of Tani Majo and Tani Makmur. To be used as a sample, the author uses clustering and purposive sampling methods to determine samples that meet the criteria. The author uses criteria. The criteria for this study are farmers who are 20-40 years old. In accordance with the criteria that have been determined, the author received a sample of 70 people.

Intervention Procedure

	ACTIVITIES	APRIL			
		1	2	3	4
1	Questionnaire creation				
2	Questionnaire distribu- tion				
3	Respondent data collec- tion				
4	SPSS calculation work				

Instruments

The instrument used in this study is using a questionnaire whose statements are taken from indicators put forward by experts such as ar Rachman (2018), Harahap et al., (2021), Wulandari and Iramani (2014), which are measured using a likert scale and then inserted into the tabulation and worked on using the SPSS application.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

a. Validity Test

Table 2 Validity Test Results

Variable	Items	R Table	R Calculate	Sig	Ket
Decision	Y11	0,2352	0,794	0,000	Valid
	Y12	0,2352	0,808	0,000	Valid
Investment	Y13	0,2352	0,642	0,000	Valid
	Y14	0,2352	0,632	0,000	Valid
	Y15	0,2352	0,595	0,000	Valid
Literacy	X11	0,2352	0,773	0,000	Valid
	Finance	X12	0,2352	0,7830	0,000
X13		0,2352	0,764	0,000	Valid
<i>Experience Regret</i>		X21	0,2352	0,734	0,000
	X22	0,2352	0,663	0,000	Valid
	X23	0,2352	0,536	0,000	Valid
	X24	0,2352	0,803	0,000	Valid
<i>Risk Tolerance</i>	X31	0,2352	0,789	0,000	Valid
	X32	0,2352	0,847	0,000	Valid
	X33	0,2352	0,696	0,000	Valid

Based on the results in the table above the variables Investment Decision (Y), Financial Literacy (X1), *Experience Regret* (X2), *Risk Tolerance* (X3), all questionnaire statements get a correlation coefficient value $r_{\text{calculate}} > r_{\text{table}}$ means that the questionnaire item statement is said to be valid.

b. Reliability Test

Table 3 Reliability Test Results

Variable	Cronbach's Alpha	Information
Investment Decision (Y)	0,741	Reliable

Financial Literacy (X1)	0,693	Reliable
<i>Experinced Regret</i> (x2)	0,625	Reliable
<i>Risk Tolerance</i> (X3)	0,676	Reliable

Based on the table above, Cronbach's Alpha value of >0.60 means that the variables Investment Decision (Y), Financial Literacy (X1), *Experience Regret* (X2), *Risk Tolerance* (X3) are declared reliable.

c. Heteroscedasticity Test

Table 4 Heteroscedasticity Test Results

Variable	Sig.	Information
Financial Lit-eracy	0,883	Non Heteroscedas-ticity
<i>Experience Regret</i>	0,063	Non Heteroscedas-ticity
<i>Risk Toler-ance</i>	0,939	Non Heteroscedas-ticity

Based on the table above, the sig value of each variable is greater than 0.05 so that there is no element of heteroscedasticity.

d. Multicollinearity Test

Table 5 Multicollinearity Test Results

Variable	Tolerance	VIF	Information
Financial Literacy	0,991	1,009	Non Multicollinearity
<i>Experience Regret</i>	0,851	1,175	Non Multicollinearity
<i>Risk Tolerance</i>	0,844	1,185	Non Multicollinearity

Based on the table above, the Tolerance value > 0.1 and the VIF value <10, it is stated that there is no multicollinearity problem.

e. Normality Test

Table 6 Normality Test Results

		Unstandardized Residual
N		63
Normal Parameters ^{a,b}	Mean	.0000000
	Std. Deviation	0,4395404
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	.080
	Positive	.080
	Negative	-.076
Test Statistic		.080
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		.200c
Monte Carlo Sig. (2-tailed)	Sig.	.734d
	99% Confidence Lower Bound	.723

Upper Bound	.5745
-------------	-------

Based on the table above, the results of *asympt.sig.* (2-tailed) 0.200 is greater than 0.05, then it can be said that the data is normally distributed.

f. Multiple Linear Regression Analysis

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \beta_3X_3 + e$$

$$Y = 3.379 + 0.023X_1 - 0.006 X_2 + 0.009 X_3 + e$$

From the above equation can be interpreted as follows:

1) Constant (α) = 3.379 means that the piece of the Y regression line is located at point 3.379

2) $\beta_1 = 0,023$

The regression coefficient for the Financial Literacy variable is 0.023, meaning that for every addition or increase in Financial Literacy (X1) by 1 score, the Investment Decision (Y) will also increase by 0.023

3) $\beta_2 = -0,006$

The regression coefficient for the *Experienced Regret* variable is -0.006, meaning that for every addition or increase of *Experienced Regret* (X2) by 1 score, the Investment Decision (Y) will decrease by 0.006

4) $\beta_3 = 0,009$

The regression coefficient for the *Risk Tolerance* variable is 0.004, meaning that for every addition or increase of *Risk Tolerance* (X1) by 1 score, the Investment Decision (Y) will also increase by 0.009.

g. Test t

Table 7 tTest Results

		Coefficients ^a				
		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		
Type		B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	3.379	0,091		36,961	0,000
	Financial Literacy	0,023	0,005	0,516	5.101	0,000
	Experienced Regret	-0,006	0,003	-0,196	-1.826	0,072

Risk Tolerance	0,009	0,004	0,261	2,439	0,017
----------------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

Based on the results of the hypothesis test, the result of t table 2.00100 was obtained. Financial Literacy variables, *Risk Tolerance* t tables < t calculate so that they can be said to have a partial effect. Meanwhile, in the Experience *Regret* variable, the table > t calculated so that it can be said that it has no partial effect.

h. Test F

Table 8 F Test Results

Type		Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	0,049	3	0,016	10,682	0,000B
	Residual	0,101	59	0,002		
	Total	0,150	62			

Based on the table above, the significance value is less than 0.05, the regression model is declared to have passed the model feasibility test.

i. Coefficient of Determination (R²)

Table 9 Validity Test Results

Type	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	0,572	0,327	0,596	0,03908

In the table above, it is known that the value of Adjusted R Square = 0.596 or 59.6% means that the independent variables used in the regression model, namely Financial Literacy (X1), *Experienced Regret* (X2) and *Risk Tolerance* (X3) are able to explain the dependent variable (Investment Decision) by 59.6%, while 40.4% is explained by other independent variables that are not included in the study

CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the study, it can be concluded that financial literacy has an influence on investment decisions because without understanding financial literacy, it is impossible to choose the appropriate investment decision. *Experience Regret* has no influence on investment decisions because the community considers that bad experiences can be used as lessons for the future so that they do not recur. *Risk Tolerance* has an influence on investment decisions because people are able to tolerate the risks they will face when choosing investment decisions.

REFERENCES

[1] Ar-Rachman. 2018. "Pengaruh Overconfidence Bias Dan Bias Optimisme Terhadap

Pengambilan Keputusan Investasi Pada Investor Di Yogyakarta." *Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen*

- [2] Harahap, S.B, Y Bustami, and Syukrawati. 2021. *Pengaruh Literasi Keuangan Terhadap Minat Investasi Saham Syariah (Studi Kasus Galeri Investasi IAIN Kerinci)*.
- [3] Wulandari, ayu, and Iramani. 2014. "tudi Experienced Regret, Risk Tolerance, Overconfidence Dan Risk Perception Pada Pengambilan Keputusan Investasi." *Journal of Business and Banking*
- [4] Probowulan, D., & Ardianto, A. (2024). Internet financial reporting disclosure index of e-commerce businesses on social media. *Intelligent Systems in Accounting, Finance and Management*, 31(2), e1550.