

International Social Sciences and Humanities

UMJember Proceeding Series (2024) Vol. 3 No 3: 428-433



Exploring the Link Between Tax Avoidance, Cash Flows, and Political Connections

Bavu Wijavantini^{1*}. Noormariana Mohd Din². Mohd Zulkifli Muhammad³

¹Universiti Malaysia Kelantan, Malaysia - Universitas Muhammadiyah Jember ; <u>a20e0067f@siswa.umk.edu.my</u>, <u>bayu@unmuhjember.ac.id</u>

²Universiti Malaysia Kelantan, Malaysia; <u>mariana.md@umk.edu.my</u>

³Universiti Malaysia Kelantan, Malaysia; <u>azulkifli.m@umk.edu.my</u>

*Correspondence: Bayu Wijayantini Email: bayu@unmuhjember.ac.id

Published: September 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Abstract: This study explores the dynamics of tax avoidance in Indonesia, focusing on the interplay between political connections, financial distress, and abnormal cash flows. Using panel data from companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) between 2019 and 2022, the research investigates how political connections influence tax avoidance practices, particularly during times of financial distress. The findings reveal that politically connected firms engage in significantly higher levels of tax avoidance, leveraging their ties to exploit regulatory gaps. Financial distress exacerbates this behavior, as firms adopt aggressive tax strategies to manage cash flow challenges. Furthermore, politically connected companies exhibit abnormal cash flow patterns, such as delayed tax payments and strategic deferrals, to optimize their financial position. The study highlights the critical role of political connections in enabling tax avoidance and underscores the need for stronger regulatory frameworks to ensure fair tax enforcement. The research calls for policy reforms to enhance transparency, strengthen audits, and reduce the influence of political ties on tax compliance, ensuring long-term fiscal sustainability and economic growth.

Keywords: Tax avoidance, political connections, financial distress, abnormal cash flows

INTRODUCTION

Tax revenues are the backbone of government financing and are essential for development and public services. However, Indonesia's tax-to-GDP ratio has consistently underperformed, hovering at approximately 10%, far below the International Monetary Fund's recommended minimum of 15%. This challenge has been exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, which caused widespread financial distress across industries, with many companies adopting aggressive tax avoidance practices to maintain liquidity (Hermuningsih et al., 2021). Political connections have emerged as a critical factor in understanding tax avoidance in Indonesia. Companies with strong political ties often benefit from preferential treatment, such as reduced scrutiny during audits, access to government bailouts, and favorable tax policies (Putra & Harymawan, 2021). These dynamics raise concerns about the impact of political connections on tax avoidance and the implications for fiscal policy, particularly in the context of financial distress during economic crises. This study investigates the interplay between tax avoidance, financial distress, and political connections, focusing on their influence on abnormal cash flows.

Numerous empirical studies have established a clear relationship between political connections and aggressive tax practices. Politically connected firms are often significantly more inclined to engage in tax avoidance strategies (Adhikari et al., 2006; Barrick & Brown, 2018; J. W. Kim, 2012; Lopo Martinez et al., 2020). Such connections are highly valuable as they provide various advantages, including easier access to credit, government support during periods of financial difficulty (Faccio, 2006), and opportunities to influence

legislation. Additionally, political ties can reduce external pressures for financial transparency (C. Kim & Zhang, 2016), enhance the chances of receiving financial assistance or bailouts, secure preferences in obtaining import licenses, and even result in leniency during tax audits or reductions in tax penalties (Mobarak & Purbasari, 2005; Wicaksono, 2017).

Empirical evidence indicates that political connections can help companies navigate and recover from financial distress by granting privileged access to financial resources and supportive policies. Tao et al., (2017), in their study of 677 observations of Chinese firms from 2001 to 2014, found a significant positive effect of political connections on government subsidies received by financially distressed companies. This effect was particularly pronounced among state-owned enterprises (SOEs) and firms with stronger survival potential. Similarly, He et al. (2019) demonstrated that, between 1999 and 2015, politically connected firms in China were more successful in securing additional debt financing, which improved their chances of recovery. In the Indonesian context, Hadiputra & Windijarto, (2023) analyzed 3,072 observations of publicly listed firms on the Indonesia Stock Exchange from 2010 to 2021. Their findings revealed that political relationships significantly mitigate the negative impact of financial distress, highlighting the role of political connections as a critical resource for companies facing economic challenges.

LITERATURE RIVIEW

Tax Avoidance

Tax avoidance has garnered significant academic interest, with studies exploring factors that drive corporate tax behavior. Desai & Dharmapala, (2009) highlight the costs associated with tax avoidance as key to understanding its motivations. Early research by Allingham and Sandmo (1972) extended to corporations identifies characteristics like profitability, size, foreign operations, and capital structure as key influencers (Gharghori et al., 2006; Gupta & Newberry, 1997). Firms with attributes such as greater foreign activity or lower leverage often report lower effective tax rates, while those using tax shelters exhibit large book-tax differences and higher litigation risks (Wang et al., 2022). Agency theory suggests that aligning manager compensation with after-tax performance may incentivize tax avoidance (Phillips et al., 2003). However, others argue that tax avoidance is primarily a cost-saving measure unrelated to agency conflicts, pursued when benefits outweigh the costs (Rego, 2003). Tax avoidance refers to the legal strategies employed by companies to minimize their tax liabilities, often involving complex schemes that exploit loopholes in tax regulations. While tax avoidance is not inherently illegal, it raises ethical concerns and reduces government revenue. Abnormal Book-Tax Differences (Abn_BTD) are a commonly used proxy for aggressive tax behavior (Zhang et al., 2014).

Political Connections and Tax Avoidance

Political connections are common in developing countries, where companies benefit from close ties to government officials, politicians, or political parties (Faccio, 2006). These connections can arise through leadership roles, majority ownership, or direct government ownership (J.-H. Kim & Lee, 2021). Politically connected firms leverage these relationships to gain preferential treatment, including access to capital, reduced tax audit risks, government protection, and bailouts during financial crises (J.-B. Kim et al., 2011). While political connections are seen as valuable resources (Fisman, 2001), they carry both benefits and drawbacks. They enhance financial strategies and tax planning but may reduce transparency and create challenges when political allies lose power (Leuz & Oberholzer-Gee, 2006). Companies with such connections often engage in tax avoidance due to reduced audit risks and support from political protectors, leading to aggressive tax planning and decreased financial transparency (Pranoto et al., 2022). Political connections provide firms with access to

resources and regulatory leniencies, enabling them to engage in aggressive tax planning. Research has shown that politically connected firms are more likely to benefit from reduced tax audits and lower penalties, leading to higher levels of tax avoidance.

Financial Distress and Tax Avoidance

Financial distress refers to a company's inability to meet its financial obligations, often leading to insolvency or bankruptcy if unresolved (Altman et al., 2017). It occurs when the liquidation value of assets falls below creditor claims, and operating cash flow cannot cover negative net worth (Mselmi et al., 2017). Factors influencing financial distress include liquidity, financial leverage, and profitability (Nketiah, 2017). Financial distress, characterized by an inability to meet financial obligations, pressures companies to conserve cash and reduce expenses. This often leads to aggressive tax avoidance practices as companies prioritize survival over compliance. The interplay between financial distress and tax avoidance is particularly pronounced during economic downturns, such as the COVID-19 pandemic.

Abnormal Cash Flows

Abnormal cash flows refer to unusual patterns in a company's cash inflows or outflows that deviate from expected norms. These irregularities often result from deliberate financial strategies, including tax avoidance practices. Tax avoidance, defined as the legal minimization of tax liabilities, may influence cash flow behaviors, as firms attempt to optimize cash holdings while reducing tax burdens. Companies engaging in tax avoidance often manipulate their financial activities to create abnormal cash flows. For instance, firms may defer revenue recognition or accelerate expense reporting to reduce taxable income, creating temporary distortions in cash flow patterns. According to (Frank et al., 2008), these actions are frequently motivated by the need to enhance liquidity or manage financial reporting for tax purposes. Abnormal cash outflows, such as unusually high or low liquidity levels, can indicate aggressive financial maneuvers, including tax avoidance. Politically connected firms may manipulate cash flows to exploit tax benefits, further complicating efforts to regulate corporate tax behavior (Wijayantini et al., 2024).

METHOD

Research Design

This study takes a quantitative approach, using panel data regression analysis to examine how political connections, financial distress, and tax avoidance are related. The method allows for investigating both the direct effects of political connections on tax avoidance and the indirect effects through financial distress, providing a comprehensive understanding of the role financial distress plays in this relationship.

Sample and Data

The research focuses on companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) between 2019 and 2022, using secondary data from annual reports and financial statements. A purposive sampling method was employed to select firms from industries most affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, ensuring the relevance of the sample to the study's objectives.

Variables

The dependent variable, tax avoidance, is measured through Abnormal Book-Tax Differences (Abn_BTD), which indicate aggressive tax behavior. The independent variable, political connections, is quantified using an index that assesses the political affiliations of board members. Financial distress, the mediating variable, is evaluated using the Altman Z-score, reflecting a company's financial health and insolvency risk. Control variables, such as firm size, profitability, and industry type, are included to account for additional factors influencing tax behavior.

3.4 Data Analysis

Panel data regression models are used to test the hypotheses, allowing for an exploration of both direct and indirect effects. This approach helps uncover how political connections influence tax avoidance and how financial distress might mediate this relationship, providing a detailed picture of the interactions between these variables.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results

Findings

The study revealed several key findings regarding the relationships between political connections, financial distress, and tax avoidance.

- a. Impact of Political Connections on Tax Avoidance: Politically connected firms exhibited significantly higher levels of tax avoidance compared to non-connected firms. These companies leverage their political ties to exploit regulatory gaps, enabling them to minimize their tax liabilities. This finding aligns with previous research that suggests political connections provide firms with strategic advantages, including reduced scrutiny and easier access to favorable policies.
- b. **Financial Distress and Tax Avoidance**: Companies facing financial distress were found to be more likely to engage in aggressive tax avoidance behavior. This is consistent with prior studies, which indicate that firms under financial pressure tend to adopt tax avoidance strategies as a means of preserving cash flow and improving their financial stability.
- c. **Abnormal Cash Flows**: The analysis revealed that politically connected firms often displayed abnormal cash flow patterns, such as delayed tax payments and strategic deferrals. These firms may manipulate their cash flows to avoid tax liabilities or optimize their financial position, further indicating the role of political connections in enabling tax avoidance practices.

Discussion

The findings emphasize the critical role that political connections play in shaping corporate tax strategies, particularly during periods of financial distress. These connections allow firms to navigate complex regulatory environments more effectively, thereby reducing their exposure to penalties and enhancing their ability to avoid taxes. As a result, politically connected firms face fewer barriers when engaging in tax avoidance, complicating efforts to ensure fair tax enforcement across the business landscape.

Moreover, the study highlights the significant interaction between financial distress and political connections. Financial distress drives firms to adopt aggressive tax avoidance measures as a means to manage cash flow challenges, while political connections provide a safety net by reducing the risks associated with such aggressive tax strategies. This dual influence underscores the need for stronger regulatory frameworks to address tax avoidance in politically connected firms. Monitoring abnormal cash flows, such as delayed tax payments and large discrepancies between book and tax income, could serve as an essential tool in detecting potential tax avoidance activities.

Overall, the study suggests that while financial distress and political connections both contribute to tax avoidance, the presence of political connections significantly amplifies the ability of firms to engage in aggressive tax strategies with fewer consequences, making it imperative for tax authorities to enhance oversight and enforcement in these cases.

CONCLUSION

This study sheds light on the dynamics of tax avoidance in Indonesia, emphasizing the interplay between political connections, financial distress, and abnormal cash flows. Politically connected firms not only engage in higher levels of tax avoidance but also manipulate cash flows to achieve their goals. These findings highlight the urgent need for policy reforms to enhance transparency, strengthen audit mechanisms, and mitigate the influence of political connections on tax compliance. Future research should explore the long-term implications of these practices on fiscal sustainability and economic growth.

REFERENCES

- Adhikari, A., Derashid, C., & Zhang, H. (2006). Public Policy, Political Connections, and Effective Tax Rates: Longitudinal Evidence from Malaysia. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 25(5), 574–595. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccpubpol.2006.07.001
- Altman, E. I., Iwanicz-Drozdowska, M., Laitinen, E. K., & Suvas, A. (2017). Financial Distress Prediction in an International Context: A Review and Empirical Analysis of Altman's *Z* Score Model. *Journal of International Financial Management & Accounting*, 28(2), 131–171. https://doi.org/10.1111/jifm.12053
- Barrick, J. A., & Brown, J. L. (2018). *Tax-Related Corporate Political Activity Research: A Literature Review*. https://ssrn.com/abstract=2994259
- Desai, M. A., & Dharmapala, D. (2009). Corporate Tax Avoidance And Firm Value.
- Faccio, M. (2006). Politically Connected Firms. In *Source: The American Economic Review* (Vol. 96, Issue 1).
- Fisman, R. (2001). Estimating the Value of Political Connections. In *Source: The American Economic Review* (Vol. 91, Issue 4). http://www.jstor.orgURL:http://www.jstor.org/stable/2677829
- Frank, M. M., Lynch, L. J., & Rego, S. O. (2008). *Tax Reporting Aggressiveness and Its Relation to Aggressive Financial Reporting Tax Reporting*.
- Gharghori, P., Chan, H., & Faff, R. (2006). Investigating the Performance of Alternative Default-Risk Models: Option-Based Versus Accounting-Based Approaches. *Australian Journal of Management*, *31*(2), 207–234. https://doi.org/10.1177/031289620603100203
- Gupta, S., & Newberry, K. (1997). Determinants of the Variability in Corporate Effective Tax Rates: Evidence from Longitudinal Data.
- Hadiputra, A. A., & Windijarto. (2023). Political Connection, Financial Distress and Cost of Debt: Empirical Evidence from Emerging Country. *Jurnal Manajemen Teori Dan Terapan | Journal of Theory and Applied Management*, 16(2), 368–380. https://doi.org/10.20473/jmtt.v16i2.44853
- Hermuningsih, S., Sari, P. P., & Rahmawati., A. (2021). Abnormal Returns On Indonesia stock Exchange During COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Applied Business and Economics (JABE)*, 7 No. 3, 367–387.
- Kim, C., & Zhang, L. (2016). Corporate Political Connections and Tax Aggressiveness. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 33(1), 78–114. https://doi.org/10.1111/1911-3846.12150
- Kim, J. W. (2012). The Effect of Managerial Reputation on Corporate Tax Avoidance.
- Kim, J.-B., Li, Y., & Zhang, L. (2011). Corporate Tax Avoidance and Stock Price Crash Risk: Firm-level Analysis. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 100(3), 639–662. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2010.07.007

- Kim, J.-H., & Lee, J.-H. (2021). How CEO Political Connections Induce Corporate Social Irresponsibility: An Empirical Study of Tax Avoidance in South Korea. *Sustainability*, *13*(14), 7739. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13147739
- Leuz, C., & Oberholzer-Gee, F. (2006). Political Relationships, Global Financing, and Corporate Transparency: Evidence from Indonesia. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 81(2), 411–439. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2005.06.006
- Lopo Martinez, A., Telles, H. S., & Chiachio, V. (2020). Corporate electoral donations and tax aggressiveness. *RAUSP Management Journal*, 55(3), 325–338. https://doi.org/10.1108/RAUSP-01-2019-0012
- Mobarak, A. M., & Purbasari, D. (2005). Political Trade Protection in Developing Countries: Firm Level Evidence from Indonesia. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.770949
- Mselmi, N., Lahiani, A., & Hamza, T. (2017). Financial distress prediction: The case of French small and medium-sized firms. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 50, 67–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2017.02.004
- Nketiah, E. T. (2017). Analyzing Investment Decisions Using Altman's Model To Predict Organizational Financial Distress.
- Phillips, J., Pincus, M., & Rego, S. O. (2003). Earnings Management: New Evidence Based on Deferred Tax Expense. In *THE ACCOUNTING REVIEW* (Vol. 78, Issue 2).
- Pranoto, B. A., Ari, D., & Widagdo, K. (2022). Pengaruh Koneksi Politik dan Corporate Governance terhadap Tax Aggresiveness.
- Putra, F. K. G., & Harymawan, I. (2021). Political Connections: A Literature Review Approach. *The Indonesian Accounting Review*, 11(1), 83. https://doi.org/10.14414/tiar.v11i1.2327
- Rego, S. O. (2003). Tax-Avoidance Activities of U.S. Multinational Corporations. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 20(4), 805–833. https://doi.org/10.1506/VANN-B7UB-GMFA-9E6W
- Tao, Q., Sun, Y., Zhu, Y., & Yang, X. (2017). Political Connections and Government Subsidies: Evidence from Financially Distressed Firms in China. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, 53(8), 1854–1868.
- Wang, C., Wilson, R. J., Zhang, S., & Zou, H. (2022). Political costs and corporate tax avoidance: Evidence from sin firms. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 41(1), 106861. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jac-cpubpol.2021.106861
- Wicaksono, A. P. N. (2017). Koneksi Politik dan Aggresivitas Pajak: Fenomena di Indonesia. *Akuntabilitas*, 10(1). https://doi.org/10.15408/akt.v10i1.5833
- Wijayantini, B., Mariana Mohd Din, N., Zulkifli Muhammad, M., Arif, A., & Hazeem Sidik. Mohamad. (2024). Unveiling The Impact Of Political Connections On Cash Flow In Indonesian Firms. *International Journal of International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Management Practices*, 7(26), 329–347. https://doi.org/10.35631/IJEMP.726026
- Zhang, L., Bauer, A., Chen, X., Dou, Y., Li, O., Li, Y., Richardson, G., & Yu, Y. (2014). *Corporate Political Connections and Tax Aggressiveness*. http://ssrn.com/abstract=2303836Electroniccopyavailableat:http://ssrn.com/abstract=2303836Tel.:+85234427843.Fax:+85234420349.Electroniccopyavailableat:http://ssrn.com/abstract=2303836Electroniccopyavailableat:http://ssrn.com/abstract=2303836